

Worshipful Master Lodge Discussion Series

Title: Logic

Version: 1.0

Purpose: Help brothers test the reasoning they actually rely on, and notice when emotion or convenience rewrites the logic midway through. A lodge that reasons together stays trustworthy.

Keywords: step-by-step, what follows from what, premises hold

Materials Needed:


None


Supporting Content/Guides

PDF - AMW Groundrules

Related Podcast Episodes:

 The Ruffians Within — Episode 3: Uncertainty Disguised as Virtue

 The Junior Warden: Noticing Before You Numb

 Hidden Tool #1 - Metallic Objects & The Preparing Room



Setup:

WM Time Needed:

2 minutes

Member Time Needed

None

Considerations:

Individuals in the lodge will participate in different ways. Challenging a member to participate in a way that is uncomfortable for them should only be done with a skilled facilitator and a high-trust group.

Messaging:

Most of us think we reason clearly until someone asks us to write down the steps. Logic is not about being right. It is about being able to show your work so another man can follow it and trust what you are saying. Tonight we test that.

Key questions:

“What does sound reasoning look like from the outside? When you watch someone think through a problem step by step, what do you notice they do that lets you follow them?”

“Does any of that sound familiar in your own work or at home? Where do you find yourself actually writing out or speaking through a decision instead of just landing on it?”

“Tell us about a time when you thought you had good reasons for something, and then had to stop mid-argument because the next step did not actually follow. What made you catch it?”

“If this lodge applied this kind of step-by-step reasoning when making decisions, what would a visitor notice about how a disagreement gets settled here?”

“How did this conversation change what you are paying attention to in your own arguments right now?”

Wrap-up:

Touch on the key points and highlights of the discussion. Pay special attention to discoveries or immediate learnings by the participants. Personalize positive feedback with “I really valued Brother [John’s] point about X, or Y”

DISCUSSION

Date of Meeting:

Rating? ☆ ☆ ☆ ☆ ☆

Key Points

Takeaways

The Best Part:

Thing's I'd do differently next time:

Do this again? Y N

If so, how often? _____

